

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,294	02/06/2004	Takeshi Kikawa	NITT.0186	4924	
75	90 02/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
REED SMITH LLP			MONDT, JO	MONDT, JOHANNES P	
Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive Falls Church, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826	•	
		DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/772,294	KIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Johannes P. Mondt	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from n, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	anuary 200 <u>5</u> .				
	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 12-21 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	withdrawn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers		·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-8 and 12-21 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected laser diode invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on January 14, 2005.

Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner has considered the items listed in the Information Disclosure Statement filed February 6, 2004.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the existence of two separate or distinct aluminum oxide films lacking in oxygen on one and the same facet (as implied by the limitations of claim 10, in particular: lines 3-5 of claim 10 and lines 7-11 of independent claim 9) must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 2826

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The Specification is objected to for the following reasons: the sentence on page 5, lines 20 - page 6, line 3, is inconsistent in equating a case defined by the phrase "the oxygen composition of aluminum oxide is 10% or less of the stoichiometric composition" with the chemical formula Al_2O_{3-x} (x <= 0.3). Said phrase and chemical formula cannot be both correct. In particular, the case when the oxygen composition is 10% or less of the stoichiometric composition is equivalent to 3-x <= 0.3, that is: x >= 2.7. From the context defined by the remainder of the Specification it is clear that the chemical formula rather than the phrase is correct. Therefore, the phrase should be replaced by "the oxygen composition of the aluminum oxide is less than the stoichiometric composition, by an amount not exceeding 10% of the stoichiometric amount of oxygen".

Furthermore, the Specification is objected to for lack of definition of "COD" in "COD deterioration" (page 8, lines 16-17). Said definition could be inserted on page 8,

lines 4-5 by replacing "catastrophic optical damage" (lines 4-5 of page 8) by "catastrophic optical damage (COD)".

Furthermore, the Specification is objected to for lack of disclosure of the subject matter of dependent claim 10: nowhere in the Specification does Applicant show two steps, one "forming a first insulator film in contact with said facet of the semiconductor... wherein the first insulator film comprises aluminum oxide lacking in oxygen", and another step of "depositing an aluminum oxide film (line 6 of claim 10) lacking oxygen (line 4). None of the Figures shows two aluminum oxide films lacking in oxygen on the same facet.

Claim Objections

- 3. **Claims 9-11** are objected to because of the following informalities: the wording "with the semiconductor facet" (line 7 of claim 9) should be replaced by "with said facet of the semiconductor". Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. **Claims 9-11** are objected to because of the following informalities: the wording "an insulator film" (line 8 of claim 9) should be replaced by: "a second insulator film". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. **Claim 11** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, the composition of the aluminum oxide has

Art Unit: 2826

already been stipulated as Al_2O_{3-x} through claim 9, while any non-zero intrusion of argon into said aluminum oxide up to 1 atomic weight percentage as claimed would result in a composition $Al_2Ar_yO_{3-x}$, with non-zero y, which is incompatible with the composition already stipulated, i.e., with Al_2O_{3-x} .

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Subject to removal of the grounds for the above made objections *claim 9 and any properly disclosed claim* dependent thereon is allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: closest to prior art found to date is Kaya et al (6,795,480 B1), evidently realizing the problems of excess oxygen in aluminum oxide layers on semiconductor laser facets and disclosing the formation of an aluminum oxide layer 18 on a facet of a laser of the preamble type (Figure 2, title, abstract), teaching avoidance of excess oxygen in said aluminum oxide film, but teaching adherence to the stoichiometric ratio (i.e., Al₂O₃), i.e., x=0, instead of the teaching of Al₂O_{3-x} with x between 0.03 and 0.3 inclusive as claimed by Applicants. Applicants, on the other hand, clearly provided technical argumentation in support of both limits of the range for the stoichiometric parameter, as evidenced by Figures 11 and 15, and their discussion on pages 6-8.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johannes P. Mondt whose telephone number is 571-272-1919. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM February 21, 2005

Patent Examiner:

Johannes Mondt (Art Unit: 2826).